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ABSTRACT

Objective: Use of partial nephrectomy (PN) for renal tumors appears to have relatively lower incidence rates in Jordan. We sought to characterize its trend at King Hussein Cancer Center for the last 10 years.

Material and methods: A retrospective review of our renal cell cancer data was performed. We identified 169 patients who had undergone surgery for renal tumors measuring ≤7 cm between 2005 and 2015. We characterized tumor size, pathology, type of surgery and clinical outcomes. Factors associated with the use of PN were evaluated using univariable and multivariable logistic regression models.

Results: Of the 169 patients, 34 (20%) and 135 (80%) had undergone partial and radical nephrectomy (RN) respectively for tumors ≤7 cm in diameter. Total number of 48 patients with tumors of ≤4 cm in diameter had undergone either PN (n=19; 40%) or RN (n=29; 60%). The frequency of PN procedures steadily increased over the years from 6% in 2005-2008, to 32% in 2013-2015, contrary to RN which was less frequently applied 94% in 2005-2008, and 68% in 2013-2015. In multivariable analysis, delayed surgery (p=0.01) and smaller tumor size (p=0.0005) were significant independent predictors of PN. During follow-up period, incidence of metastasis was lower in PN versus RN (13% and 32%, respectively, p=0.043). Local recurrence rates were not significantly different between PN (6.9%) and RN (7.2%) (p=0.99). The mean tumor sizes for patients who had undergone PN and RN were 4.1 and 5.5 cm respectively, (p<0.0001). The mean follow-up period for PN was 20 months, and for RN 33 months, (p=0.0225).

Conclusion: Partial nephrectomy for small renal tumors is relatively less frequently applied in Jordan, however an increase in its use has been observed over the years. Our data showed lower rates of distant metastasis and similar rates of local recurrence in favor of PN.
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Introduction

In 2009, the American Urological Association (AUA) published evidence-based practice guidelines for the management of clinical T1a renal masses as a guide for clinicians to consider partial nephrectomy (PN) to be the reference standard for T1a renal masses. Since then PN has been widely accepted as the standard of care for renal tumors 4 cm or less in diameter (T1a), with strong evidence supporting its use in tumors up to 7 cm (T1b) in carefully selected patients. Partial nephrectomy has been well supported in the literature due to its ability to preserve renal function with an overall lower incidence of chronic kidney disease, and a significant trend towards less frequent receipt of dialysis services, dialysis access surgery, or renal transplantation, when compared to radical nephrectomy (RN). These benefits are not limited to renal function but also involve overall mortality, as it has been shown that in patients younger than 65 years, radical nephrectomy was significantly associated with death from any cause compared with PN.

Multiple studies have showed that PN is still underutilized in the United States and around the world. We sought to examine the patterns of PN utilization in managing small renal masses in King Hussein Cancer Center- a tertiary referral center in Jordan- and to further characterize possible predictors for PN use.
Material and methods

After institutional review board (IRB) approval, we reviewed our nephrectomy database for cases between 2005 and 2015. We identified 477 patients who had either radical or PN for renal tumors. Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) with size >7 cm, Wilms tumor, neuroblastoma and patients with nodal or distant metastasis at surgery were excluded from analysis, and 169 patients with a renal tumor of ≤7 cm in diameter were identified.

Descriptive analysis was performed for a comparison between PN and RN, and for all categorical factors using chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. A logistic regression model was adopted to evaluate the impact of various factors as independent predictors of PN use, and a significance criterion of p≤0.05 was used in the analysis. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Hundred and sixty-nine patients had undergone either partial (n=34; 20%) or RN (RN) (n=135; 80%) for tumors of ≤7 cm in diameter. The most common histological type was clear-cell renal carcinoma 65%, and grade 2 was the most common Fuhrman grade detected in 60% of the cases (Tables 1, 2).

Table 3 depicts clinical features by operation type. We divided the study duration into 3 periods: 2005-2008, 2009-2012 and 2013-2015. A trend toward an increased use of PN as years pass was found and the majority of them have been performed within time intervals of 2009-2012 (44%), and 2013-2015 (47%) (p=0.003). Increased use of PN compared to RN was observed from 2005-2008 (6%) to 2013-2015 (32%). While RN use declined from 94% to 68% during the same time intervals (Figures 1-3). Surgery type was stratified by tumor size. Patients who had tumors of ≤4 or >4 cm in diameter had undergone either PN (40 vs. 13%) or RN (60 vs. 87%).

Forty-five patients who developed metastasis, had undergone either PN (9%) or mostly (91%) RN (p=0.043). There was no significant difference in local recurrence rates between PN and RN (p=0.99). Of the 44 patients that died only 6.8% underwent PN vs 93.2% who had RN (p=0.012).

As shown in Table 4, the average age at surgery for both operation types was 53.5 years, while the median tumor sizes, and follow-up periods for patients who had undergone PN, and RN were 4 vs. 6 cm (p<0.0001) and 19.7 vs 33.5 months, respectively (p=0.0225). No significant change was observed in the serum creatinine levels between the two groups. Twenty patients who had chronic renal failure had undergone either RN (n=16; 80%) or PN (n=4; 20%)

Logistic regression analysis was performed to identify the predictors of procedure type (Table 5). Delayed surgery and smaller tumor size were significant predictors, with OR of 6.7 (p=0.019) and 6 (p=0.0005) on multivariable analysis, respectively. Younger age had OR of 3.13 (p=0.08). Gender was not a significant predictor.
At our center, mostly partial and RN cases were performed using open surgical techniques. But a similar trend towards the increased use of laparoscopy is being observed, with 25% of PN and 35% of RN cases were performed laparoscopically.

Our average warm ischemia time was 13 minutes, and we used mannitol infusion (12.5 gr/200 mL of normal saline) for renoprotection. In two of our patients with purely exophytic tumors and with significant underlying CKD, resection of the tumor without renal artery occlusion was done.
Discussion

Widespread use of cross-sectional imaging has led to a down-migration in the size of diagnosed renal masses. Strong evidence is supporting the use of PN as the standard of care for T1a (≤4 cm) tumors. A trend towards an increase in the use of PN has been expected which was reflected by our data with the bulk (87%) of PN operations being done after the release of guidelines in 2009. However, RN is still being overused. Indeed, most of the patients (87%) who had tumors 4-7 cm in diameter, and a significant number of patients (60%) with tumors ≤4 cm in size had undergone RN.

Our results have indicated that PN use has increased from 7% during 2005-2008, to 32% in 2013-2015, while use of RN has declined from 94% to 68% during the same time intervals. (Figures 1-3). A similar trend has been observed in other countries.

Liss et al. reported an increase in the prevalence of PN from 29% in the years prior to guideline release to 35% in the years following guideline release with an adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 1.24 (p=0.049) in the USA.

There are serious potential consequences to overusing RN in patients with small renal masses. Recent observations clearly demonstrated a significantly increased risk of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in patients treated with RN as opposed to PN. Huang et al. reported 3-year probability of freedom from new onset of GFR lower than 60 mL/min/1.73 m² was 80% after PN and 35% after RN (p<0.0001). In our study 80% of the patients with new onset post-operative renal failure had undergone RN.

Radical nephrectomy is associated with a reduction in overall survival and an increase in non-cancer related deaths. Zini et al. reported that RN was associated with absolute increase
in overall (4.9%) and non-cancer related mortality (4.6%) at 5 years after surgery (p=0.001). Similarly, Thompson et al.[8] reported an increase of death from any cause in patients 65 years or younger with a relative risk of 2 (p=0.02). Our results were congruent with previously reported data (PN 6.8% vs. RN 93.2% of overall deaths) (p=0.012).

Radical nephrectomy can still be preferred by some urologists, as PN cases had more procedure-related complications compared to RN (9% vs. 3%, respectively, p=0.0001) due to complications of urinary leak and subsequently higher re-intervention rate (2.5% vs. 0.6%, p=0.02). However, the majority of these complications were of minor degree, and the authors concluded that overall, PN is not associated with greater number of complications relative to RN.

Lesser number of metastases were observed in patients who had undergone PN (9%) relative to RN (91%). This phenomenon might be partly explained by the fact that patients who had undergone RN had larger tumor sizes compared to PN patients. We also did not find significant differences as for local recurrence rates between the two groups (PN, 6.9% and RN, 7.2%) (p=0.99).

Table 5 demonstrates univariable, and multivariable analysis of various suspected predictors of PN use. As expected, delayed surgery and smaller tumor size were independent predictors (p=0.0119 and 0.0005 respectively). Younger age was a potential factor (p=0.08), and this should be addressed when deciding on the type of operation. Use of renoprotective PN should be encouraged in older patients on account of their greater number of comorbidities and their declining GFR among these patients who are also in desperate need of preserving their renal function.

Our results are similar to what has been shown in other studies[7,13], but contrary to theirs, in our experience, gender was not a significant predictor in the decision to perform PN.

There are some limitations to our study. Our data reflect the experience at King Hussein Cancer Center, a tertiary referral center, and we suspect that the rates of PN are in fact lower in other hospitals. Individualized surgeon specific experience might have accounted for the observed trends. Tumor location might have been a factor in choosing RN over PN, and we tried to account for this variable in our analysis. R.E.N.A.L. nephrometry score has been effectively utilized to predict the amenability of renal masses to PN[14], with its scoring system ranging from 1-12 points accounting for the tumor complexity, taking in consideration multiple factors to characterize the mass location. We were not able to acquire the preoperative CT scan images for all of our patients, one reason being, that a number of them who came from other neighboring countries had been lost to follow-up.

Although the use of PN for small renal tumors has a relatively lower incidence in Jordan, an increase in its utilization has been observed over the years. Our data have shown lower rates of distant metastasis favouring PN, and nearly similar rates of local recurrence marginally in favor of partial nephrectomy.
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