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ABSTRACT

Objective: The incidence of ureteral stricture is showing a rising trend due to increased use of laparoscopic and upper urinary tract endoscopic procedures. Boari flap is the preferred method of repairing long-segment ureteral defects of 8-12 cm. The procedure has undergone change from classical open (transperitoneal and retroperitoneal) method to laparoscopic surgery and recently robotic surgery. Laparoscopic approach is cosmetically appealing, less morbid and with shorter hospital stay. In this case series, we report our experience of performing laparoscopic ureteral reimplantation with Boari flap in 3 patients.

Material and methods: This prospective study was conducted between January 2011 December 2014. The patients with a long-segment ureteral defect who had undergone laparoscopic Boari flap reconstruction were included in the study. Outcome of laparoscopic ureteral reimplantation with Boari flap for the management of long-segment ureteral defect was evaluated.

Results: The procedure was performed on 3 patients, and male to female ratio was 1:2. One patient had bilateral and other two patients had left ureteral stricture. The mean length of ureteral stricture was 8.6 cm (range 8.2-9.2 cm). The mean operative time was 206 min (190 to 220 min). The average estimated blood loss was 100 mL (range 90-110 mL) and mean hospital stay was 6 days (range 5 to 7 days). The mean follow up was 19 months (range 17-22 months). None of the patients experienced any complication related to the procedure in perioperative period.

Conclusion: Laparoscopic ureteral reimplantation with Boari flap is safe, feasible and has excellent long term results. However, the procedure is technically challenging, requires extensive experience of intracorporeal suturing.
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Introduction

The incidence of ureteral stricture is rising due to increased use of laparoscopic and upper urinary tract endoscopic procedures. Ureteral reimplantation with Boari flap has undergone change from classical open (transperitoneal and retroperitoneal) method to laparoscopic surgery and recently there are reports of robot-assisted laparoscopic repairs. With increasing skills and availability of laparoscopic instruments, there are studies on managing long-segment ureteral stricture by laparoscopic reimplantation with Boari flap. However, the reconstruction is technically challenging and needs considerable expertise of intracorporeal suturing. This procedure is indicated for management of long-segment ureteral defect (>8 cm). This approach is cosmetically appealing, less morbid with shorter hospital stay.

Material and methods

This prospective study was conducted between 1st January 2011 to 31st December 2014, in the department of Urology, King George's Medical University situated in North India. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. A total of 3 patients with a
long-segment ureteral defect (median, 8.6 cm; range 8.2-9.2 cm) who had undergone laparoscopic Boari flap reconstruction were included in the study. A detailed history and clinical examination was performed in all cases. Ultrasonographic examination of kidney, ureter and bladder (KUB) was done in all cases. Other imaging studies like intravenous urography (IVU) (n=3), and computed tomography urography (CTU) (n=2) were performed to delineate the site and length of ureteral stricture. In one patient with bilateral ureteral stricture had history of radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer. DTPA (diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid) scan was done in all cases to assess the split renal function. Initially all patients had undergone percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) and a nephrostogram was performed. Cystoscopy and retrograde ureterogram were performed before proceeding for reconstruction. At three months of follow up, a renal and bladder ultrasound, intravenous urography and DTPA scan were done. Resolution of hydronephrosis and unobstructed drainage were considered as successful outcome.

Surgical technique
All procedures were performed under general anaesthesia. Patient was placed in supine position with 45 degree tilt on the affected side. A 14 Fr Foley urethral catheter was inserted before the procedure. Transperitoneal approach was employed in all cases and all repair was done by a single urologist using three ports only. After creation of pneumoperitoneum, incision was made at white line of Toldt and colon was reflected medially. Ureter was identified and dissected caudally till the level of stricture. Ureter was handled meticulously with preservation of periureteral adventitia, and stenosed segment of ureter was resected. Ureteral cut end was then spatulated posteriorly at 6 O’clock position for 2.5 cms. Bladder then distended with 300 mL of normal saline (0.9%) and all adhesions were cleared. On anterolateral bladder wall, a flap was raised with the base and tip being 4 and 3 cm in width respectively. The tip of the flap was localized just proximal to the bladder neck and its base at dome. Tension-free anastomosis of spatulated ureter and bladder flap was performed with 4-0 polyglactin sutures over a 6Fr/26 cm double J stent. Anastomosis was performed over double J stent in continuous watertight fashion. Bladder was closed in a single layer with continuous sutures (Figure 1-6). A soft silastic tube drain was inserted into pelvis at the end of the procedure. Drain was removed after 48 hrs and double J stent after six weeks.

Results
A total of 3 patients underwent ureteral reconstruction. Demographic characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The median age of the patients was 43.3 years (range 36 to 54 years) with male to female ratio of 1:2. The left side involvement was predominant (R:L, 1:2). The cause for ureteral defect was hysterectomy in 2 patients (66.6%), and colorectal surgery in one patient (33.33%). The median interval between injury and repair was 3 months (2-4 months). One patient had history of radical hysterectomy for carcinoma of cervix. She developed bilateral ureteral stricture following the procedure. She underwent right sided laparoscopic ureteroneocystostomy followed by laparoscopic ureteral reimplantation Boari flap on the contralateral side 3 months later. The median length of ureteral defect was 8.6 cm (range 8.2-9.2 cm). The median operative time was 206 min (range 190 to 220 min). The average estimated blood loss was

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical data of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male: female)</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureteral defect (cm)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between injury and operative intervention (months)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause</td>
<td>Post-hysterectomy</td>
<td>Post-radical Hysterectomy</td>
<td>Post-colorectal surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre op PCN</td>
<td>PCN</td>
<td>Bilateral PCN</td>
<td>PCN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; PCN: percutaneous nephrostomy
100 mL (range 90-110 mL) and the median hospital stay was 6 days (range 5 to 7 days). There were no intraoperative complications. Procedure was completed successfully in all three patients. None of the patients developed any postoperative complications. The median follow up was 19 months (17-22 months). Following stent removal, renal ultrasound showed resolution of hydronephrosis in all cases with unobstructed drainage pattern on DTPA scan. Perioperative data is summarised in Table 2.

**Discussion**

Boari described this method of ureteral reconstruction in 1894 in a canine model and in human it was first described in 1947. Open Boari flap procedures have good long-term results. However, open procedure is associated with excessive pain, longer hospital stay, and surgical scar. As the skill of the urologist is increasing in performing laparoscopic surgeries, there is a rapid transition from open to laparoscopic Boari flap reconstruction technique.

Fugita et al. in 2001, first successfully performed laparoscopic reconstruction with Boari flap in three patients with long-segment ureteral stricture and concluded that the procedure is effective and feasible (Table 3). Rassweiler et al. observed longer operative time (253 minutes vs. 220 minutes), shorter hospital stay (8 days vs.17 day) with 100% success rate in the
Table 2. Perioperative data of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to drain removal (hours)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score (VAS) at POD 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesic requirement (Tramadol in mg)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up (months)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion to open</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post- Op US KUB</td>
<td>HDN</td>
<td>HDN</td>
<td>HDN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTPA Renal Scan</td>
<td>Non-Obstructive</td>
<td>Non-Obstructive</td>
<td>Non-Obstructive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAS: visual analogue scale; USG KUB: ultrasound kidney, ureter, bladder; DTPA: diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid

Table 3. Literature review: reported series of laparoscopic ureteral reimplantation with Boari flap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Stricture length (cms)</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>M/F</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>OT Time (min)</th>
<th>Hospital stay (d)</th>
<th>Blood loss (mL)</th>
<th>F-up (mo)</th>
<th>Analgesic requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fergany et al.[10]</td>
<td>6 pigs</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fugita et al.[6]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1M 2 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castillo et al.[9]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>8US 1 UT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modi et al.[11]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasweiler et al.[7]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2M 2 F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basiri et al.[12]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerli et al.[13]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramalingam et al.[8]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>POM US 1 M 2 F</td>
<td>3 R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symons et al.[14]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>US 3 M</td>
<td></td>
<td>1R 2 L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schimpf and Wegner[3]</td>
<td>2 RALBF</td>
<td>US UT 1 F 1 M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allarpathi et al.[5]</td>
<td>2 RALBF</td>
<td>UT 1F 1 M</td>
<td>2R</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soares et al.[16]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gozen et al.[17]</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: male; F: female; R: right; L: left; RALBF: robot-assisted laparoscopic reimplantation with Boari flap; US: ureteral stricture; UT: ureteral tumor; H: hysterectomy; min: minute; d: days; mo: months; F-up: follow up; yrs: years
laparoscopic group as compared with open ureteral reimplanta-
tion with Boari flap.

Ramalingam et al.\[10\] performed laparoscopic ureteral reimplan-
tation with Boari flap in 3 patients successfully with median
operative time of 320 mins (range 300-320 min). Castillo et al.
\[11\] reported 9 cases of laparoscopic reimplantation procedure
with Boari flap. In their study, median operative time was 156
mins, median hospital stay was 3 days and median length of
follow-up was 17.6 months. Abraham et al.\[18\] reported compa-
rable results between early and delayed repair with Boari flap, however early repair is challenging. Schimpf and Wagner\[9\]
performed first robotic Boari flap procedure. The operative
time was 172 minutes, with hospital stay of 2 days with 12
months of follow-up without any evidence of recurrence of
stricture. Robotic surgery may make this procedure easier with better vision and dexterity during suturing. However,
this approach has major limitations in terms of high cost,
limited availability and inexperience of most of the surgeons
worldwide. The present study is a prospective study which
comprised 3 patients with median stricture length of 8.6 cm
(range 8.2-9.2 cm), the median operative time of 206 min
(range 190-220 min), median hospital stay of 6 days (range
5-7 days), and median follow up of 19 months (17-22 months)
with 100% the success rate. These results are comparable to
the literature findings. The interesting part of our study is pain
scoring (VAS) done on the first postoperative day (POD1)
and analgesic requirement. The median pain score was 3.33
(range 3-4) and the mean analgesic requirement was 300 mg
of tramadol (200-400 mg). These findings are also comparable
to the literature data. One patient had bilateral ureteral stric-
ture developed following radical hysterectomy. In this patient
laparoscopic ureteroneocystotomy was performed first on one
side and then laparoscopic reconstruction with Boari flap was
performed on the contralateral side after 3 months with excel-
lent results.

Laparoscopic reimplantation with Boari flap is a safe, feasible
and less morbid procedure for the management of long-seg-
ment ureteral defects. However, the reconstruction is technically
challenging and require extensive intracorporeal suturing. This
surgical approach provides obvious advantage to the patient in
terms of cosmesis and decreased morbidity.
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